Nitrogen doses on soybean growth and Asian rust progress in two cultivars
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Abstract

The main goal of this study was to analyze the range of effects of nitrogen doses on Asian soybean rust (Phakopsora pachyrhizi Sydow) progress over two soybean (Glycine max Merrill) cultivars. Two experiments were carried out in greenhouse and in the field, during the 2011/12 and 2012/13 crop years. In the greenhouse, plants were grown in sand in 2011/12 and in a mix of sand and soil in 2012/2013, and supplied with five N doses by dripping fertigation. In the field, the crop was fertilized with six nitrogen doses with broadcast applications. The pathogen was inoculated in all experiments. It was assessed the Area Under Rust Progress Curve (AURPC), defoliation, dry matter, total concentration of N in leaves, number of grains per plant, thousand grain weight and yield. In the greenhouse experiment, nitrogen rates increased the plant growth and the disease progress during the first year. In 2011/12 field assay, a slight decrease of rust progress and a slight increase in yield from 160 to 242 kg ha$^{-1}$ were recorded. However, under favorable environmental conditions for Asian soybean rust, the increases in grain yield obtained by using high N rates on both cultivars were minor than the damage caused by the disease.
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Doses de nitrogênio no crescimento da soja e progresso de ferrugem asiática em duas cultivares

Resumo

O objetivo principal deste trabalho foi avaliar o efeito de cinco doses de nitrogênio sobre o progresso da ferrugem asiática (Phakopsora pachyrhizi Sydow) em cultivares de soja (Glycine max Merrill). Dois experimentos foram conduzidos em casa de vegetação e no campo, nas safras 2011/12 e 2012/13. Na casa de vegetação as plantas foram cultivadas em areia no ano de 2011/12 e uma mistura de areia e solo no período de 2012/13. No campo, as plantas foram submetidas a seis doses de nitrogênio aplicadas em cobertura. O patógeno foi inoculado em todos os experimentos. Determinou-se Área Abaixo da Curva de Progresso da Doença (AACPF), defolha, massa seca de folhas, concentração total de N nas folhas, número de grãos por planta, peso de mil grãos e produtividade. Na casa de vegetação, as doses de nitrogênio aumentaram o crescimento de plantas e o progresso da doenca no primeiro ano. Em 2011/12 no experimento de campo, um ligeiro decréscimo no progresso da ferrugem e um aumento no rendimento de 160 a 242 kg ha$^{-1}$ foram registrados. No entanto, com as condições ambientais favoráveis para a ferrugem asiática da soja, o aumento na produção de grãos pelo uso de altas doses de N foi comprometido pelos danos causados pela doença, em ambas as cultivares.
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Introduction

The mineral nutrition is crucial for plant development, limiting the crop production (Fageria, 1998). In soybean (Glycine max Merrill), positive responses to development and yield have been documented for fertilization (Corrêa et al., 2004; Gonçalves Junior et al., 2010). The Nitrogen (N) is the most required nutrient by soybean. Prado et al. (2010) reported that soybean plants submitted to N deficiency decreased the development of organs and shoots, besides yellowing leaves. Furthermore, the N availability can affect the protein and lipid content in the grains (Ray et al., 2006).

In Brazil, 85% of total N on soybean crop is provided by symbiosis between soybean and Bradyrhizobium spp. (Hungria et al., 2006). However, the development of new varieties with high yield potential might suggest the need of new nitrogen sources. Studies have shown positive response on soybean yield to mineral N application (Ray et al., 2006; Mendes et al., 2008; Nogueira et al., 2010; Petter et al., 2012). Meanwhile, other studies showed no significant response (Barker & Sawyer, 2005; Hungria et al., 2006; Aratani et al., 2008). Salvagiotti et al. (2008) documented the controversy about N and soybean yield, suggesting that it was still poorly studied.

The plant nutrition plays an important role to minimize the damage caused by rust in soybean. According to Balardin et al. (2006), nutrient deficiency or excess can increase defenses or promote infections. Soybean rust (Phakopsora pachyrhizi Sydow.) is the main disease in Brazilian soybean production. This disease can occur in all soybean stages, high humidity, mild temperatures and often rainfall are associated to the disease outcome (Tsukahara et al., 2008; Del Ponte et al., 2004). The disease is very aggressive (Yorinori et al., 2005), and in high epidemic situation it may cause losses up to 89% (Godoy et al., 2009).

Several studies have recorded the effects of crop nutrition on rust development (Balardin et al., 2006; Debona et al., 2008; Pinheiro et al., 2011; Doreto et al., 2012). Walters & Bingham. (2007) affirm that different N sources can affect diseases development in several ways. However, even though nutritional management is recognized as a way to enhance disease control, progress must still be made on this area of study.

The effect of nitrogen doses on progress of Asian soybean rust were the main goal of this research.

Material and Methods

The study was carried out at the experimental station of Instituto Phytus in Itaara, RS, Brazil (latitude 29° 35' S, longitude 53° 48' W, and 444 m high). Four experiments were set up in greenhouse and field conditions, from October to March during two following crop years: 2011/12 (Experiments 1 and 2) and 2012/13 (Experiments 3 and 4).

The two chosen cultivars BMX Energia® RR and The BMX Potência® RR are characterized as maturity group 5.0 and 6.7, respectively (BRASMAX, 2014). Both cultivars require medium to high soil fertility and presents low tolerance to biotic and abiotic stresses. Seeds were previously treated with Fipronil (0.25 g a. i. kg⁻¹ of seeds) and Metalaxil-M + Fludioxonil (0.04 g + 0.1 g a. i. kg⁻¹ of seeds). Three hours prior the sowing, it was done an inoculation of Bradyrhizobium japonicum (Bioagro ®) at the dosage of 300 mL 50 kg⁻¹ of seeds.

The experiment 1 was set up during 2011/12 growing season. In the greenhouse five raised beds for drip fertigation were built in a closed system for each N rate. Polypropylene pots (4 dm³ volume) were placed on devices with a basaltic gravel layer in the bottom in order to drain the solution. The pots were filled with washed sand, and arranged in 30 cm row spacing and 5 cm between pots.

The fertilizers salt quantities were calculated and the nutrients added to the solution. There were five different nitrogen concentrations in nutrient solutions, with the ratio given in mmol L⁻¹: 5.5 (T1), 8.0 (T2), 10.5 (T3), 13.0 (T4), and 15.5 (T5). The experimental design was completely randomized split-plot (5x2) with four replications, totaling 40 pots per each treatment. The main factor was five N doses (main plot) and the secondary factor was the two soybean cultivars (split-plot).

Concentrations of other nutrients were
kept the same for all treatments, by checking twice a week the electrical conductivity (EC) and pH of the solutions contained in the tanks. The EC and pH of initial solutions were recorded and ranged from 0.9 to 1.2 mS and 5.5 to 5.8, respectively. When EC showed a deviation greater than 10%, it was adjusted adding water or a new nutrient solution. The pH was kept in the range of 5.5 to 6.5 by addition of NaOH or H$_2$SO$_4$ in the concentration of 1N. The solution was completely renewed whenever the level reached 30% of the tank content.

The nutrient solution was automatically pumped into the pots four times a day, during 15 minutes and controlled by a timer. The excess of solution was drained through the rails installed in the lower side of the raised bed, which led nutrient solution to the 500 dm$^3$ tanks located under the benches.

The experiment 2 was carried out in the field. The soil was classified as sandy with 286 g kg$^{-1}$ of sand, 470 g kg$^{-1}$ of silt, and 244 g kg$^{-1}$ of clay, belonging to the Franco class (EMBRAPA, 2013). The soil pH was 5.6, with organic matter (27 g kg$^{-1}$), phosphorus (20.8 mg dm$^{-3}$), potassium (160 mg dm$^{-3}$), calcium (5.3 cmol dm$^{-3}$), Mg (cmol dm$^{-3}$) and CEC (11.2 cmol 5.3 dm$^{-3}$) contents. Meteorological data were collected from a meteorological station located at the experimental field (Table 1).

Table 1. Averages of temperatures and rainfall at the experimental site during the crop growing periods in 2011/2012 and 2012/2013. Itaara – Rio Grande do Sul, Brazil.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Month</th>
<th>Min (°C)</th>
<th>Max (°C)</th>
<th>Med (°C)</th>
<th>Rainfall (mm)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2011</td>
<td>October</td>
<td>13.52</td>
<td>(15.26)</td>
<td>22.51</td>
<td>18.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2011</td>
<td>November</td>
<td>15.28</td>
<td>(20.64)</td>
<td>26.29</td>
<td>20.78</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2011</td>
<td>December</td>
<td>16.33</td>
<td>(19.60)</td>
<td>27.66</td>
<td>22.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2012</td>
<td>January</td>
<td>18.23</td>
<td>(18.01)</td>
<td>29.84</td>
<td>24.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2012</td>
<td>February</td>
<td>20.50</td>
<td>(18.44)</td>
<td>30.64</td>
<td>25.57</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2012</td>
<td>March</td>
<td>22.51</td>
<td>(15.64)</td>
<td>26.40</td>
<td>19.15</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

In parentheses: first experiment year. Without parentheses: second experiment.

Soybean was sown in November 19th, sowing 14 seeds per meter and with 0.5 m row spacing, supported by a precision seeder-fertilizer. The P–K were applied at the rates of 168 (P$_2$O$_5$) and 120 (K$_2$O) kg ha$^{-1}$. The experiment was a factorial randomized block design with split plots (2x6) with four replications. The two cultivars composed the main plots and split-plot with six N doses (0, 30, 60, 120, 180 and 240 kg ha$^{-1}$) always in three applications V3, V5 and R1 stages. Using urea as source of N. The plots were (3 x 5 meters) covering 6 soybean rows. When it needed, the experiment was irrigated. The management of pests and weeds were done according to the good field practices.

The experiment 3 was established in the greenhouse during the 2012/13 crop year. The pots were filled with substrate composed by washed sand and soil (1:2) from the same site in the field. It was kept the same level of P-K added at sowing in the field, considering the application of fertilizer in a proportional soil profile of 0-10 cm (1 m$^2$ = 100 dm$^3$), in order to provide similar nutrient availability in both field and greenhouse experiment following the same rule to the N plots treatments. Applying the total nutrient doses in the substrate preparation. The N concentrations were 0, 30, 60, 120, 180 and 240 (mg dm$^{-3}$). The drip fertigation was made with the same frequency of the Experiment 1.

The experiment 4 was a replication of the experiment 2. It was conducted in the same field, from November 4th, 2012 to March, 2013. Nonetheless, irrigation was not necessary due to a good availability of water provided by rainfall at the period.

At R1 stage, for all experiments, the soybean plants were inoculated using P. pachyrhizi spore suspension. The suspension was obtained by adding 10 mL of tween (1%) per liter of the pathogen spore suspension, at 1 x 10$^6$ mL$^{-1}$ concentration, determined with the assistance of the Newbauer chamber. The spores were directly collected from infected soybean plants. Inoculation was performed using a CO$_2$ pressurized bar with four nozzles XR 11001. The rate applied was 150 L ha$^{-1}$ at 33 psi of pressure. Afterwards 150 pots containing infected soybean plants by P. pachyrhizi were put into a greenhouse, in order to simulate natural and
constant inoculation.

It was evaluated the severity of Asian soybean rust and subsequently calculated the Area Under Rust Progress Curve (AURPC), defoliation (%), thousand grain weight (TGW), grain yield per plant and yield in both greenhouse and field. Nitrogen content (g kg\(^{-1}\)) (NCL), leaves dry matter (g) (DML) at R1 stage and number of grains per plant (GP) were obtained from the greenhouse experiments.

The AURPC was calculated using the equation proposed by (Campbell & Madden, 1990) using severity data from four weekly assessments. Starting 10 days after the inoculation and based on diagrammatic scale suggested by (Godoy et al., 2006). The assessments considered the same four plants per treatment at the greenhouse, and four randomized points in the middle of each plot in the field, considering only the middle part of the canopy. Defoliation was estimated counting the number of nodes in the main stem with and without leaves at the very moment and sampled in the date of each severity evaluation.

For DML and NCL determinations, four plants per treatment were collected in the greenhouse and field experiments. The leaves were taken off, bagged and then dried. The leaves weight was gotten on analytical scale. Afterwards leaves were sent to the Forest Ecology Laboratory at the Federal University of Santa Maria to determine N content in leaves, using the Kjeldahl method.

After maturation (R9), four plants were harvested from greenhouse experiments, being each plant a replication. In the field eight square meters were combined, yield, and thousand grain weight were determined based on moisture content of 13%. The grain number per plant (GP) was counted using the Auto Meter grain.

Statistical analysis were performed running the spreadsheet in Office Excel, Sigma Plot software and Assistat 7.7. The F test (p-value <0.05) was used for testing the main effects and interactions between N rates and cultivars. When interactions or N rates effects were significant, data were submitted to regression analysis. The matrix of linear simple correlation with the coefficients between assessed variables was estimated by the (p-value <0.05) significance and (p-value <0.01) t test, in greenhouse experiments.

**Results**

The N availability did not show any effect on nitrogen content in leaves (NCL) as observed in 2011/12 and 2012/13 experiments (Figures 1 E, F). The area under rust progress curve (AURPC) increased three times on both cultivars considering the increase of free N in the 2011/12 greenhouse experiment (Figure 1 A). Nonetheless, a slight reduction on the area under disease progress can be seen on both cultivars for the 2012/2013 experiment (Figure 1 B). Defoliation showed similar trends of the AURPC. In these experiments the increase of N availability resulted on an average defoliation of 25% on both cultivars in 2011/12 (Figures 1 C), this defoliation was likely caused by the increase of the rust progress rate.

BMX Energia\(^{\text{®}}\) showed a good response on GP for rates up to 10.5 mmol L\(^{-1}\), while BMX Potência\(^{\text{®}}\) had a decrease on this parameter in 2011/12 (Figure 2 C). The cultivars average demonstrated a gain on GP in 2012/13. The TGW only showed significant interaction between N and cultivars in 2011/2012. Decreases on TGW were noticed for N concentration above 10.5 mmol L\(^{-1}\) on BMX Energia\(^{\text{®}}\) (Figure 2 E). While BMX Potência\(^{\text{®}}\) showed an increase of 50 grams from 5.5 to 10.5 mmol L\(^{-1}\).

The interaction between nitrogen rates and cultivars did not show significance for DML in R1 stage in 2011/12, GP in 2012/13, TGW in 2012/13 and yield per plant in 2012/13 (Figure 2 A, D, F H). The DML increased 50% in 2011/12 (Figure 2 A) and BMX Energia\(^{\text{®}}\) resulted in significant decrease on DML for nitrogen concentration above 120 mg dm\(^{-3}\) in 2012/13 (Figure 2 B), although there was no difference on DML for BMX Potência\(^{\text{®}}\). No difference on TGW was recorded in the 2012/13 experiment (Figure 2 F). The BMX Energia\(^{\text{®}}\) had lower yield under high N availability. On the other hand, the BMX Potência\(^{\text{®}}\) increased the yield from 30 to 40 grams per plant on the first year (Figure 2 G).
Figure 1. Area under rust progress curve (AURPC) [A, B], defoliation [C, D], nitrogen leaves concentration (NLC) [E, F] at R1 Stage grown on sand with N concentrations of 5.5, 8.0, 10.5, 13.0, and 15.5 mmol L^{-1} (A, C, E) (Experiment 1, 2011/12) and grown in sandy soil with lower concentrations of 0, 60, 120, 180 and 240 mg kg^{-1} [B, D, F] (Experiment 2, 2012/13).
Field data in 2011/12 (Figure 3) showed a reduction on AURPC for high N rates, supporting the second year greenhouse experiment. However, it contrasts to the results found in the first greenhouse experiment. Yield responded quite well in the first year for the N application. Meanwhile, there was no significance response in the following year for any of the studied parameters (Figures 3 B, D, F, H).

The interaction between N doses and cultivars were significant for AURPC, defoliation and yield. The AURPC and defoliation showed...
linear decrease on both cultivars (Figure 3 A, C). No significant interaction between N doses and cultivars was observed on TGW in 2011/12, neither effect due to N rates in 2012/13 experiments (Figure 3 E, F). In 2011/12, there was a linear increase of 162 kg ha\(^{-1}\), from 0 to 240 kg of N ha\(^{-1}\) on yield (Figure 3 G) of BMX Energia\(^{®}\) and up to 242 kg ha\(^{-1}\) BMX Potencia\(^{®}\). Nonetheless, the yield gain with the higher N rates on both cultivars were minor than the damage caused by the disease.

**Figure 3.** Area under rust progress curve (AURPC) (A, B), defoliation (C, D), thousand grain weight (TGW) (E, F), yield (G, H) grown in tillage with the N doses of 0, 60, 120, 180 and 240 kg ha\(^{-1}\) in 2011/12 (Experiment 2; A, C, E, G) and 2012/13 (Experiment 4; B, D, F, H).
Discussion

Analyzing the data from Experiments 1 and 3, there are two factors that can explain the variation in the progress of rust. First, increasing the rate of N into nutrient solution resulted in a bigger DML, with a large leaf area. Besides nitrogen is bonded on photosynthetic apparatus, increasing its availability results in more biomass, leading to canopy microclimate conditions more favorable to the appearance of rust (Nogueira et al., 2010; Nunes-Nesi et al., 2010; Lima et al., 2012).

Furthermore, soybean plants under shady conditions encouraged rust development (Debona et al., 2008). According to Furtado et al. (2009) the presence of light drastically reduces the germination of P. phakyrhizi spores. This information suggests that the excessive soybean growth can speed up the soybean rust progress. Thus, the optimal biomass must be studied for each variety of soy, avoiding overgrowth and potential problems brought by diseases.

Additionally, despite the NLC did not show any significance, N availability may cause biochemical changes affecting plant response against pathogens (Snoeijers et al. 2000; Neumann et al. 2004; Planchert and Kaiser, 2006). Indeed, Pinheiro et al. (2011) and Doreto et al. (2012) observed that excessive potassium fertilization increased the rust progress. Meanwhile, when this nutrient was balanced supplied with other nutrients, such as calcium, a reduction on soybean rust was recorded. Balardin et al. (2006) found that balanced availability of phosphorus and potassium decreased the soybean rust severity. Thus, the balance among positive and negative factors defines the supremacy of defense process or increase the epidemic.

Table 2. Simple correlation among variables. Area under rust progress curve (AURPC), defoliation (DEFOL), nitrogen concentration in leaves, (NCL), dry mass of leaves (DML), plant height, grains per plant (GP), thousand grain weight (TGW) and yield, in two crop periods of plants grown in the greenhouse with sand (Experiment 1, 2011/12) and in the mixture of sand and soil (Experiment 3, 2012/13)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>VA</th>
<th>VA</th>
<th>AURPC</th>
<th>DEFOL</th>
<th>NLC</th>
<th>DML</th>
<th>GP</th>
<th>TGW</th>
<th>YIELD</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Experiment – 1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AURPC</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.92**</td>
<td>0.44*</td>
<td>0.59**</td>
<td>-0.42*</td>
<td>0.23ns</td>
<td>0.14ns</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DEFOL</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.41*</td>
<td>0.53**</td>
<td>-0.59**</td>
<td>0.26ns</td>
<td>0.16ns</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NLC</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.10ns</td>
<td>-0.18</td>
<td>0.02ns</td>
<td>-0.13ns</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DML</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>-0.09</td>
<td>0.36ns</td>
<td>0.18ns</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GP</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>-0.30ns</td>
<td>-0.19ns</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TGW</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.65**</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>YIELD</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Experiment – 3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AURPC</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>-0.54*</td>
<td>-0.53*</td>
<td>-0.23ns</td>
<td>-0.67**</td>
<td>-0.25ns</td>
<td>-0.81**</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DEFOL</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.29ns</td>
<td>0.65**</td>
<td>0.20ns</td>
<td>0.15ns</td>
<td>0.37ns</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NLC</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.22ns</td>
<td>0.33ns</td>
<td>0.16ns</td>
<td>0.37ns</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DML</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.10ns</td>
<td>-0.07ns</td>
<td>0.13ns</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GP</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.10ns</td>
<td>0.85**</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TGW</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.24ns</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>YIELD</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Significant at 1% level of probability *Significant at 5% level of probability; ns: non-significant.

On sand experiment, positive correlations between AURPC and defoliation (r2 = 0.92), DML and AURPC (r2 = 0.59), DML and defoliation (r2 = 0.53), TGW and productivity (r2 = 0.65) were observed. Negative correlations occurred between AURPC and GP (r2 = 0.42), defoliation and GP (r2 = 0.59). In this case, the excess of leaves achieved by increasing N was lost due to defoliation.

In experiment 3, although the crop was supplied with a complete nutrition solution, the plants were visually smaller than in the previous year. The porosity of the sand and soil mix was lower than pure sand. Thus, it might have resulted on worse condition for root development. The correlation between variables showed some changes (Table 2). Positive correlations were observed for GP and Yield (r2= 0.85). The negative correlations occurred between AURPC and defoliation (r2 = 0.54), AURPC and GP (r2 = 0.67), AURPC and Yield (r2 = 0.81). It should be noticed that several factors add to manage rust...
and achieve high yields, while the N availability may influence some conditions that benefits the soybean rust development.

The gains in grain yield in the 2011/2012 growing season match the previous data documented by Mendes et al. (2008) and Petter et al. (2012). Yet, the absence of response in the second year supports the studies developed by Hungria et al. (2006) and Aratani et al. (2008) which found no response for mineral N application and it might be explained by the frequent rainfalls during the period, leaching the N, as it has been reported by Robertson & Groffman (2007).

Therefore, yield gain and the reduction on soybean rust by increasing the N availability, should not be seen as an isolated solution against soybean rust. But, further as a useful information of how N nutrition of soybean plants can help or make difficult the management of soybean rust.

Conclusions

The increase in nitrogen availability for soybeans, when it is not in excess, may decrease the progress of soybean rust. This decrease was not related to the concentration of nitrogen in foliage.

When the availability of nitrogen caused an excessive growth, the progress of soybean rust increased and resulted in a bigger damage by this disease.
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