Fertilization with monoammonium phosphate in the production of Formosa ‘Tainung-01’ papaya in the potiguar semiarid

Mauro da Silva Tosta¹, João Paulo Nobre de Almeida¹*, Grazianny Andrade Leite¹*, Joacy Fonseca Neto¹, Vander Mendonça¹, Poliana Samara Castro Freitas¹

¹ Federal Rural University of Semiarid, Mossoró, Brazil
² Federal Rural University of Pernambuco, Cabo de Santo Agostinho, Brazil
*Corresponding author, e-mail: joaopaulonobre@yahoo.com.br

Abstract

The achievement of good productivity and quality of fruits is directly associated with a balanced fertilization, in which phosphate fertilizers have an important role in the agricultural production system. In this context, this work aimed to evaluate the effect of doses of monoammonium phosphate in ground fertilization and associated with the topdressing in the production of the Formosa ‘Tainung-01’ papaya. The experimental design was in complete randomized blocks, in an split plots outline, having as main factor four fertilizer doses in ground fertilization (0; 80; 160, and 250 kg ha⁻¹ of monoammonium phosphate [MAP]) and, as a sub-portion, two fertilizers in topdressing (20 kg ha⁻¹ of Cosmofert® + 45 kg ha⁻¹ of MAP” and “90 kg ha⁻¹ of MAP). The harvesting began in the eighth month and extended until the 12th month after transplanting of the seedlings. All fruits of five plants per experimental unit were collected and classified. The number of fruits, the production per plant, the yield, and the percentage of marketable fruits were evaluated. The ground fertilization with the monoammonium phosphate did not influence the production of Formosa ‘Tainung-01’ papaya fruits exclusively destined to the domestic market. When the production is destined to the external market, a dosage of 74.0 kg ha⁻¹ of monoammonium phosphate can be used in ground fertilization.
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Introduction

The balanced nutrition for papaya crops is one of the main factors that contribute for the increase in the yield and fruit quality, since it occurs as a function of the amount of each essential element extracted and exported with harvest and the course of nutrient absorption during the plant cycle (Mesquita et al., 2010). In order to attend to this nutritional demand, it is necessary to provide fertilizers in adequate and balanced doses throughout the entire crop cycle (Marinho et al., 2010).

Among nutrients, phosphorus is required in smaller amounts by papaya plants. However, several authors have demonstrated, in their studies, positive results as to the fertilization in fruit species (Nasution et al., 2011; Saraiva et al., 2011; Guimarães et al., 2012; Freitas et al., 2013; Santos et al., 2014; Andrade et al., 2015).

Phosphorus acts in photosynthesis, respiration, storage and transference of energy, cell division, cell growth, and in several other processes in the plant (Leite et al., 2017). This nutrient promotes the premature growth and formation of roots, besides improving fruit quality. Its deficiency decreases the growth of young plants due to the reduction in root development, in the initial stage. High phosphorus contents, in the presence of calcium, might lead to the precipitation of calcium phosphate, which is insoluble (Novais et al., 2007), reducing root growth and multiplication. However, there is the possibility of not occurring a response when performing phosphate fertilization, in which excessive applications of fertilizers might lead to nutritional unbalance, environmental pollution, besides making the practice economically unfeasible.

As a consequence of the high levels of phosphate fertilizer applied, part of the P retained in the solid phase of the soil can become available for the plants over time, constituting a residual effect (Costa et al., 2011). Even with the low efficiency of phosphate fertilization, it is necessary to provide this nutrient to the crops, given its essential role in the vital function of the plants.

In this context, the present work aimed to evaluate the effect of doses of monoammonium phosphate in ground fertilization associated with topdressing fertilization in the production of “Tainung-01” papaya under the edaphoclimatic conditions of the semiarid region of the
Rio Grande do Norte state.

Material and Methods

The experiment was installed and conducted in the municipality of Barauna-RN (geographic coordinates 5° 04′ 31″) in a commercial orchard of the “WG Fruticultura” company, from April 2013 to April 2014.

The harrowing and subsouling of the soil were performed in the planting row, in which ridges were raised (1.6 m of base width and 30 cm height), followed by the mounting of the irrigation system using dripping hoses with 20 mm of diameter and a 0.3 m spacing between emitters.

Prior to the beginning of the essay mounting, a sample was collected for the chemical analysis of the soil in the planting row, whose values were: pH = 7.8; P = 92.0 mg dm⁻³; K = 229.0 mg dm⁻³; Ca = 5.1 mmol dm⁻³; Mg = 1.4 mmol dm⁻³; CTC = 7.2 mmol dm⁻³; Na = 44.00 mg dm⁻³; Fe = 2.6 mg dm⁻³; Cu = 2.9 mg dm⁻³; Mn=14.0 mg dm⁻³; V = 97.3 % (performed according to the methodology of the EMPARN laboratory, Natal - RN).

Regarding seedling production, Formosa papaya seeds of the “Tainung-01” hybrid were used in the experiment, being sowed in expanded polystyrene trays of 128-cells, transplanting the seeds 21 days after the emergence. The transplantation in simple rows was employed, with 1,250 planting rows ha⁻¹, and a 4 m spacing between rows and 2 m between plants. Each row received three papaya seedlings, followed by thinning at flowering, allowing only hermaphrodite plants per row.

During the planting and after the transplantation of the seedlings, 20 mL of a solution composed by 60 mL of ‘Pole Humus® (humic-fulvic solution) were applied via soil, around the base of the plant, to induce the rooting and greater adaptation of the seedlings, along with 40 mL of ‘Aliette Flash® (systemic fungicide of the phosphonate group) as a preventive measure to the attack of soil fungi. The applications were performed with a 20 L backpack sprayer.

A completely randomized block design was used in the experiment, in a scheme of subdivided parcel, having as the main factor four doses of ground fertilization (0; 80; 160, and 250 kg ha⁻¹ of granular monoammonium phosphate [MAP]) and, as a sub-parcel, two topdressing fertilizations (20 kg ha⁻¹ of Cosmofert® + 45 kg ha⁻¹ of MAP and 90 kg ha⁻¹ of semi-purified and powdered MAP).

The experiment used granular MAP with a 09-48-00 formula, whereas the Cosmofert® (liquid) possesses a density of 1.3 kg L⁻¹ and a 08-24-00 formula, using ammoniacal nitrogen and phosphorus pentoxide as sources of nitrogen and phosphorus, respectively; besides containing in its formula 7.2% of organic acids (humic and fulvic acids). The topdressing fertilization was divided into weekly applications in the period from 150 to 210 days after transplantation; each experimental unit consisted of 15 plants, considering the five central plants as a useful plot. 78.2 kg ha⁻¹ of ammonium sulfate and 31.3 kg ha⁻¹ of potassium chloride were also added at 217 days after transplantation, through spreading, in a semi-circle of the stem.

Harvesting started in the eighth month and extended until the 12th month after the planting of the seedlings, being performed weekly, in the morning period. All fruits from three plants per experimental unit were collected and classified. The maturation point was the ‘color break’ stage (stage 2), with a light green husk and two longitudinal yellowish strips. Were considered as disposable (non-marketable) the fruits that presented weight lower than 0.9 kg, and with severe or slight damage for the visual appearance (CEAGESP, 2015).

The fruits considered as external market weighed between 0.9 kg and 1.3 kg; with a characteristic long shape of the Formosa group. All fruits not classified as neither external market nor non-marketable were put in the internal market group, considering as marketable the summation between the internal and external market. The total corresponded to the result of the summation between the marketable and the non-marketable.

The number of fruits and the production were determined by the cumulative summation of the measured values during the entire harvest, with values expressed in fruit units per plant and kg per plant, respectively. The mean fruit weight was calculated by the division of the production values by the number of fruits, with values expressed in kg per fruit. For the determination of the yield, the production was multiplied by the number of plants in one hectare (1,250), whose values are expressed in t ha⁻¹. The mean fruit weight was determined by the direct division of the production by the number of fruits.

The analyses were performed with the computer software System for Analysis of Variance – SISVAR (Ferreira, 2011). For the variables in which significance was verified (p < 0.05) by the F test, their qualitative means (topdressing fertilizations) underwent Tukey’s test (p < 0.05), whereas the quantitative means (doses of MAP in ground fertilization) were subjected to regression analysis; the regression estimates were performed in the Table Curve® and SISVAR softwares.
Results and Discussion

The use of topdressing fertilization for the number of marketable fruits caused a significant effect (p < 0.05) through the F test, in which the use of Cosmofert® associated with MAP promoted a higher number of marketable fruits, with superiority of 9%, and differed through Tukey’s test of the fertilization exclusively with MAP (Table 1).

Table 1. Observed means for the number of fruits (NFr), production (Prod – Kg plant), and yield (Pde – t ha⁻¹) (MI – internal market; ME – external market; Com – marketable; T – total) in the ‘Tainung-01’ papaya under topdressing fertilizations (with “Cosmofert®+MAP” and “MAP”).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Topdressing</th>
<th>NFr ¹</th>
<th>Prod</th>
<th>Pde</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>MI</td>
<td>ME</td>
<td>Com</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cosmofert®+MAP</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MAP</td>
<td>54.2</td>
<td>11.3</td>
<td>65.5 a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IC (±)</td>
<td>5.5</td>
<td>1.1</td>
<td>5.9</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

¹Means with different letters in the column are statistically different by Tukey’s test (5%); IC – confidence interval (P<0.05).

The use of topdressing fertilization with Cosmofert® associated with MAP did not promote a significant effect through the F test for the percentage of fruits for the internal market and the marketable fruits (Table 2). For the remaining variables, the use of MAP only promoted the highest mean values in the topdressing fertilization, except for the average fruit weight for the internal market, with a mean value of 1.7 ± 0.1 kg fruit⁻¹. These values are above those observed by Rocha et al. (2007) – of 1.2 kg fruit⁻¹.

Table 2. Observed means for the percentage (%Fr) and average weight (PM Fr – g) (MI – internal market; ME – external market; Com – marketable) of ‘Tainung-01’ papaya fruits under topdressing fertilizations (with “Cosmofert®+MAP” and with “MAP”).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Topdressing</th>
<th>%Fr</th>
<th>PM Fr</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>MI</td>
<td>ME</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cosmofert®+MAP</td>
<td>62.0</td>
<td>13.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MAP</td>
<td>61.0</td>
<td>14.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IC (±)</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>1.5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

¹Means with different letters in the column are statistically different by Tukey’s test (5%); IC – confidence interval (p < 0.05).

These observed values for fruit weight corroborate the data observed in Linhares (ES), with values from 0.8 to 2.0 kg fruit⁻¹ (Gomes Filho et al., 2008). However, they are below those observed in Cruz das Almas (BA), with mean values of 2.3 kg fruit⁻¹ (Carvalho et al., 2004) and in Limoeiro do Norte (CE), with values from 1.8 to 2.4 kg fruit⁻¹ (Santos et al., 2008). This superiority might have occurred due to the low number of fruits per plant, causing a greater accumulation of photoassimilates per fruit.

The use of MAP in ground fertilization promoted a significant effect (p < 0.05) for the number of fruits. For the internal market, marketable, and total fruits, the absence of phosphate fertilization promoted the highest value, whereas, in the number of fruits destined for the external market, an increment of increasing linear behavior was observed with the increase of the doses of ground fertilization (Figure 1A). The highest estimated value of the fruit number for the internal market was 64.9 fruits plant⁻¹, whereas in the marketable fruits it was 73.0 fruits plant⁻¹, and the total was 99.3 fruits plant⁻¹.

An induced zinc deficiency might have occurred in the soil of the experimental area, promoting a reduction in the number of flowers and roots, which allied to a possible calcium deficiency (Novais et al., 2007), damaged the development of flowers. Therefore, under high doses of MAP, the plant might have presented lower vegetative growth, resulting in a smaller number of fruits, as a function of the reduction in the number of flowers (Santos et al., 2008).

Regarding the number of fruits for the external market, the estimated value was 13.0 fruits per plant, with the application of 250 kg ha⁻¹ of MAP, representing an increment of 36.0% when compared with the absence of this P source in ground fertilization. This behavior might have occurred due to the better distribution of photoassimilates since the number of total fruits decreased with the increment in the MAP doses in ground fertilization. These results are above those observed in the municipalities of Linhares – ES (Gomes Filho et al., 2008) and Limoeiro do Norte – CE (Santos et al., 2008), representing only 75.8% and 19.9% of the observed in Baraúna, respectively.

In another assay, in Limoeiro do Norte (CE), it represented only 23.7% of the harvested fruits in Baraúna (Viana et al., 2008), possibly due to the availability of phosphorus in the soil, with a 51.5% lower amount. It also occurred in an experiment in Cruz das Almas (BA), with values representing only 18.0% of the number of fruits (Souza et al., 2007), possibly due to the availability of phosphorus in the soil worked in Baraúna (245.3 kg ha⁻¹ of P₂O₅). In another
The ground fertilization with MAP promoted a significant effect (p < 0.05) for the internal market, marketable fruits, and total production (Figure 1B). The absence of ground MAP dosages promoted a higher estimated value for these variables in spite of the topdressing fertilizations employed. The highest estimated value for the internal market production was 109.8 kg plant\(^{-1}\); for the marketable fruits it was 122.4 kg plant, and for the total production it was 140.8 kg plant. With the use of 80 kg ha\(^{-1}\) of ground MAP, a loss of 15.5%, 19.9%, and 20.1% occurred in the productions, respectively.

An assay conducted in Linhares (ES) presented a 19.6% lower estimated production if compared with present work (Gomes Filho et al., 2008). However, the values were above the highest productions observed in Limoeiro do Norte – CE (Santos et al., 2008), which represented only 25.2% of the observed in Baraúna. Also, in Cruz das Almas – BA (Souza et al., 2007), a production of only 14.3% of the observed in Baraúna was registered. This difference is possibly due to the phosphorus limiting the potential of the Tainung nº01 hybrid since the available amount in the soil was only 35.2% (in relation to the assay in Baraúna).

In another assay, in Cruz das Almas (BA), the maximum production of 32.4 kg plant\(^{-1}\) was verified, representing only 26.4% of the observed in the present work (Carvalho et al., 2004). The low production can be attributed to the lower availability of available nutrients for plant absorption, which represented only 4.5% of the total amount of phosphorus in relation to the Baraúna assay.

The use of ground fertilization promoted a significant effect (p < 0.05) in the yield destined for the internal market, marketable, and total fruits (Figure 1C), in which the increase in the MAP doses in ground fertilization promoted a negative response for such variables. The absence of ground fertilization promoted an estimated yield of 137.2 ton ha\(^{-1}\) for the internal market, 152.9 ton ha\(^{-1}\) for the marketable fruits, and 171.4 ton ha\(^{-1}\) for the total, observing a reduction of 27.4%, 19.9%, and 20.0% respectively when applying 250 kg ha\(^{-1}\) of MAP in ground fertilization. The reductions in yield are related to the number of fruits since the fruit weight did not suffer the influence of the treatments.

---

**Figure 1.** Number of fruits (N Fr) for the external market (ME), internal market (MI), marketable (Com) and total fruits (T) (A); Production (Prod) for the internal market (MI), marketable fruits (Com), and total fruits (T) (B); and Yield (Pde) for the internal market (MI), marketable fruits (Com) and total fruits (T) (C) of ‘Tainung-01’ papaya under doses of ground fertilization with monoammonium phosphate (MAP).
A superior yield in 14.5% was observed in the conditions of Linhares - ES (Gomes Filho et al., 2008), which might have occurred due to the use of a larger population and a greater agronomical efficiency. Inferior yields to those found in the present work were observed in Cruz das Almas (BA), with values of 30.33 ton ha\(^{-1}\) (Souza et al., 2007) and 53.8 ton ha\(^{-1}\) (Carvalho et al., 2004), and in Limeira do Norte (CE), with 42.7 ton ha\(^{-1}\) (Santos et al., 2008). This lower yield might have occurred due to several factors, among them the lower availability of phosphorus for absorption by the plants and/or the edaphoclimatic conditions, in which the plants did express all their productive potential.

Regarding the production for the external market, there was a significant effect \(p < 0.05\) for the ground and topdressing fertilizations employed (Figure 2A), observing an increment with the increase of the ground MAP doses, with growing linear responses for the topdressing used, in which the use of 250 kg ha\(^{-1}\) of MAP in ground fertilization associated with the topdressing (20 kg ha\(^{-1}\) of Cosmofert\(®\) + 45 kg ha\(^{-1}\) of MAP) promoted the highest production (83.1 kg plant\(^{-1}\)), representing a superiority of 6.4% when using only MAP in topdressing (90 kg ha\(^{-1}\)) and an increment of 57.5% if compared to the control. For each unitary increase of the ground fertilization with MAP, an estimated increment of 0.03 kg in the yield was registered for the external market.

Still, in Figure 2A, it is observed that the use of 250 kg ha\(^{-1}\) of MAP in ground fertilization associated with a topdressing with 90 kg ha\(^{-1}\) promoted an increment of 31.8% in the production for the external market when compared to the control. The unitary increment for the increase in ground fertilization (doses of MAP) was 0.02 kg in this production. Since the average fruit weight was not influenced by the fertilizations used in this assay, the increase in the number of fruits is responsible for the increment in the production for the external market when increasing the ground fertilization doses with MAP.

For the yield of the external market, there was a significant effect between the ground fertilization and the topdressings used (Figure 2B), observing a growing linear behavior response for all topdressings, directly due to the increase in the number of fruits destined for
the external market (Figure 1A). The use of 250 kg ha\(^{-1}\) of MAP associated with a topdressing of “20 kg ha\(^{-1}\) of Cosmofert® + 45 kg ha\(^{-1}\) of MAP” promoted the highest yield for the external market (24.8 t ha\(^{-1}\)), presenting an increment of 57.4\% in relation to the MAP in the ground fertilization. When using the topdressing of “90 kg ha\(^{-1}\) of MAP” associated to the application of the maximum studied MAP dose, in the ground fertilization, there was an estimated yield for the external market of 23.3 t ha\(^{-1}\), representing an increment of 31.6\% in relation to the absence of MAP in ground fertilization. These increments are related to the number of fruits destined for the internal market, since as a function of the reduction in the number of fruits the photoassimilates are transported to a smaller number of fruits, improving their qualitative and quantitative attributes for exportation.

The use of ground fertilization promoted a significant effect (p < 0.05) with the topdressings used for the percentage of fruits destined for the internal market (Figure 2C). When using MAP only (90 kg ha\(^{-1}\)) in topdressing fertilization, a growing response was observed in the percentage of fruits destined for the internal market, with a maximum estimated value of 64.3\% with the use of 250 kg ha\(^{-1}\) of MAP in ground fertilization, representing an increment of 11.3\% in relation to the absence of ground fertilization. This response occurs due to the reduction in the total of fruits, approximately 5\% higher than in the fruits destined for the internal market, thus promoting a percentage increment.

However, when using topdressing fertilization with “Cosmofert® + MAP” a reduction in this percentage was observed, in which the absence of MAP in ground fertilization promoted the highest estimated value of 67.6\% of fruits destined for the internal market. However, when using the maximum studied dose, a reduction of 11.7\% was registered (that is, from 67.6\% to 59.3\%), according to the sketch in Figure 2C. The reduction in the percentage of fruits for the internal market occurred due to the increase in the percentage of fruits destined for exportation, being inversely proportional (Figure 1A).

The use of ground fertilization promoted a significant effect (p < 0.05) for the percentage of fruits for the external market and marketable fruits (Figure 2C). Increments with the increase in the doses of ground fertilization were observed, in which the use of the highest studied dose promoted the highest estimated value for these variables. A value of 17.9\% was estimated for the percentage of fruits destined for the external market (for each unitary increase in the MAP applied in ground fertilization, an increment of 0.03\% of fruits was observed), and 79.0\% for the marketable fruits, representing, when compared with the control, an increment of 77.9\% and 5.8\%, respectively. The increase in the percentage of fruits for the external market is due to the reduction in the total number of fruits and the increment in the number of fruits destined for the external market. Allied to this behavior, the reduction in large scale in the total of fruits, when compared to the number of marketable fruits, caused an increment in the percentage of marketable fruits.

The results presented here demonstrate that when the contents of phosphorus in the soil are high, the use of fertilization with monoammonium phosphate promoted a reduction in the number of fruits of ‘Tainung 01’ papaya for the internal market, marketable fruits, and total fruits; reduced the production and yield for the internal market, marketable fruits, and total fruits. However, it promoted increments in the number of fruits, production, yield, and percentage of fruits for the external market, besides incrementing the percentage of marketable fruits. This possibility for the producer to spare in the phosphate fertilization when the soil contents are high, contribute highly for the maintaining of the economic viability in irrigated ‘Tainung-01’ papaya orchards under the conditions of the semiarid region of the Rio Grande do Norte state.

**Conclusions**

The ground fertilization with monoammonium phosphate decreased the production of papaya fruits destined exclusively for the internal market.

For the production of ‘Tainung-01’ papaya fruits destined for the external market, a 74.0 kg ha\(^{-1}\) dose of monoammonium phosphate associated with the topdressing fertilization is recommended (20 kg ha\(^{-1}\) of Cosmofert® + 45 kg ha\(^{-1}\) of MAP).

The topdressing fertilization at the dose of 90 kg ha\(^{-1}\) of MAP increased the percentage of fruits of ‘Tainung-01’ papaya destined for the internal market.

**References**


Fertilization with monoammonium...